



## Thorsten Eisenhofer<sup>1</sup>, Doreen Riepel<sup>2</sup>, Varun Chandrasekaran<sup>3</sup>, Esha Ghosh<sup>4</sup>, Olya Ohrimenko<sup>5</sup>, and Nicolas Papernot<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>BIFOLD & TU Berlin, <sup>2</sup>CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security, <sup>3</sup>University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, <sup>4</sup> Microsoft Research, <sup>5</sup> The University of Melbourne, <sup>6</sup> University of Toronto & Vector Institute

#### Motivation





 $D = \{\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare, \ldots\}$  $m \leftarrow \mathsf{Train}(D)$ 

 $D'=D'ackslash \{ullet\}$  $m' \leftarrow \mathsf{Unlearn}(m, \blacksquare)$ 

#### But can we trust the server?

#### **Goal**: Prove that unlearning was performed correctly!

#### **Security Definition**

$GameUnlearn_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{E},\Phi_f,\mathcal{D}}(1^\lambda)$	
00 pub $\leftarrow Setup(1^{\lambda})$	
01 $(k, (u, d), \pi_{u,d}, \{mode_i: \operatorname{com}_i, \rho_i\}_{i \in [0:\ell]};$ $\{D_i\}_{i \in [0:\ell]}) \leftarrow (\mathcal{A} \  \mathcal{E})(p_i)$	oub, aux)
02 # Pre-processing	
O3 $U_k^+\coloneqq D_{k-1}\setminus D_k$	
04 Parse $\operatorname{com}_i^m$ as $(\operatorname{com}_i^m \  \operatorname{com}_i^D) \ \forall i \in [0:\ell]$	
05 # Evaluate winning condition	
06 if Commit(pub, $D_i) = com_i^D \ \forall i \in [0:\ell]$	# Datasets
o 7 and VerifyInit(pub, com $_0, \rho_0$ )	# Initialization
08 and VerifyTraining(pub, $com_{i-1}, com_i, \rho_i$ )	
$\forall i: mode_i = train$	# Training
09 and VerifyUnlearning(pub, $com_{i-1}, com_i, \rho_i$ )	
$\forall i: mode_i = unled$	arn # Unlearning
10 and VerifyNonMembership(pub, $u, d, \operatorname{com}_k, \pi_{u,d}$ )	# Non-Membership
11 and $(u,d) \in U_k^+$	# Point unlearnt
12 and $(u,d) \in D_\ell$ and $k < \ell$ : #1	Point re-added later
13 return 1	
14 return 0	



**Definition** (Unlearning) "A protocol is unlearning-secure if no efficient adversary exists that can forge an unlearning response in GameUnlearn."

# Verifiable and Provably Secure Machine Unlearning

	Proof via model parameters no
	sufficient. Can efficiently construe
C	lataset $D'$ s.t. $D' \neq D$ but $m = m$
	Verifiable Unlearning
	<b>Proof of unlearning</b>
	Verify correct execution of
	unlearning algorithm
	<b>Proof of training</b>
	Consider full lifecycle of

Adversary wins if they can forge an unlearning response

### Instantiation

Prove correct execution of training and unlearning algorithm using techniques from verifiable computation.



nitialize		
f not VerifyInit(pub, com $_0, \rho_0$ ):	$com_0, \rho_0$	(st
abort		$D_0^-$
-th iteration		$D_i$
# add data points	$u \in \mathcal{U}, d_i \in \widehat{D}$	U
k-th query	$\xrightarrow{u \in u, \ u_{i,k} \in D_{u}}$	$D_i$
# remove data points	$u \in \mathcal{U}, \ d_{i,i} \in \widehat{D}_u$	
<i>j</i> -th query		$U_i^{\neg}$
Proof of Training		
if not VerifyTraining(pub, $com_{i-1}, com_i, \rho_i$ )	<i>train:</i> $\operatorname{com}_i, \rho_i$	(st
abort		$D_i$
OR Proof of Unlearning		
if not VerifyUnlearning(pub, $com_{i-1}, com_i, \rho_i$ ):	<i>unlearn:</i> $com_i, \rho_i$	(st
abort		
<b>if not</b> VerifyNonMembership(pub $u d \in com (\pi, \pi)$ ).	$\pi_{u,d_{i,j}}$	for
abort	<	$U^{+}$

#### Verifiable computation

**y** := **f**(**x**)

"Proof that y is the result of evaluating f on x"

$C_U$	(public $h_{st_j}$
	private st
00	# Check inpu
01	if $h_{D_{i-1}} \neq$
02	return f
03	# Update and
04	$\mathcal{H}_{U_i^+} \coloneqq \{F$
05	$\mathcal{H}_{D_i} \coloneqq \mathcal{H}_{D_i}$
06	if $h_{U_i} \neq A$
07	return f
08	# Check inpi
09	$h_{{ m st}_{f,i-1}}  eq$
10	return fa
11	$(st_{f,i}, m_i)$
12	if $h_{st_{f,i}} \neq$
13	return f
14	return true

Server 
$$S$$
 (pub)  
 $S_{i,0}, m_0, \operatorname{com}_0, \rho_0) \leftarrow \operatorname{Init}(\operatorname{pub})$   
 $F \coloneqq \emptyset, U_0^+ \coloneqq \emptyset$   
 $F \coloneqq D_{i-1}^+, U_i^+ \coloneqq U_{i-1}^+$   
 $F \coloneqq D_i^+ \cup \{(u, d_{i,k})\}$   
 $F \coloneqq U_i^+ \cup \{(u, d_{i,j})\}$   
 $S_{i,i}, m_i, \operatorname{com}_i, \rho_i) \leftarrow \operatorname{ProveTraining}(\operatorname{st}_{S,i-1}, \operatorname{pub}, D_i^+)$   
 $F \coloneqq \emptyset$   
 $S_{i,i}, m_i, \operatorname{com}_i, \rho_i) \leftarrow \operatorname{ProveUnlearning}(\operatorname{st}_{S,i-1}, \operatorname{pub}, U_i^+)$   
 $F (u, d_{i,j}) \in U_i^+:$   
 $\pi_{u, d_{i,j}} \leftarrow \operatorname{ProveNonMembership}(\operatorname{st}_{S,i}, \operatorname{pub}, u, d_{i,j})$   
 $F \coloneqq \emptyset$ 

 $h_{f,i}, h_{\mathsf{st}_{f,i-1}}, h_{m_i}, h_{D_i}, h_{D_{i-1}}, h_{U_i}, h_{U_{i-1}}, h_{U_i}, h_{U_{i-1}}, h_{U_i}, h_{U_{i-1}}, h_{U_i}, h_$  $\mathcal{H}_{D_{i-1}}, \mathcal{H}_{D_{i-1}}, U_i^+)$ out set of hashed training data records HashData $(\mathcal{H}_{D_{i-1}})$ : false nd check set of hashed unlearnt data records and training data records  $\mathsf{HashDataRecord}(u,d)\}_{(u,d)\in U_i^+}$  $\mathcal{L}_{D_{i-1}} \setminus \mathcal{H}_{U_i^+}$  $\mathsf{AppendHashData}(h_{U_{i-1}}, \mathcal{H}_{U_{i}^+}) \text{ or } h_{D_i} \neq \mathsf{HashData}(\mathcal{H}_{D_i}):$ false out state, perform unlearning and check outputs HashState(st<sub>f,i-1</sub>):  $\coloneqq f_U(\mathsf{st}_{f,i-1}, U_i^+)$  $\mathsf{HashState}(\mathsf{st}_{f,i})$  or  $h_{m_i} \neq \mathsf{HashModel}(m_i)$ :