No more Reviewer #2: Subverting Automatic Paper-Reviewer Assignment using Adversarial Learning

Thorsten Eisenhofer, Erwin Quiring, Jonas Möller, Doreen Riepel, Thorsten Holz, Konrad Rieck



RUB



COMPUTER SCIENCE 1 N S T 1 T U T E





Papers and Reviews

Peer Review

- Independent evaluation of scientific papers
- Main instrument for quality control

Initial Step: Paper-Reviewer Assignment

- Assignment of qualified reviewers to each paper
- Good match of topic (paper) and expertise (reviewer)

1

Assignment Process



Manual bidding increasingly impossible

10.000 submissions. Reading each paper's title (~3s) takes 8 hours!



Automatic Assignment Systems



Use ML to distill submissions and reviewer expertise



Topic Modeling



$Corpus D = \{ \bigcirc, \bigcirc, ..., \bigcirc \}$



Topic Modeling



Goal: Manipulate submission () to pick our own reviewers

 θ_3

4





Problem-space

Problem-space transformations to add/remove words from input file



Text-level

Reference addition

Language models

Synonyms

Spelling mistakes

Chain several transformations



Constraints

is plausible and semantic correct



Hybrid Search Strategy





Evaluation

Simulation of IEEE S&P'20

- Format-level
 - Text-level
 - **Encoding-level**

More results in the paper! Success Rate



Take Aways

New attack against automatic reviewer-paper assignment

- Hybrid attack strategy in feature space and problem space
- Minimal and unobtrusive transformations of papers

Broader perspective

- Decisions based on learning models inherently insecure
- More to explore off the beaten path of adversarial learning

More at github.com/rub-syssec/adversarial-papers







